United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		James B	. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge					
CASE NUMBER		04 C	3287	DATE	10/5/	2004			
CASE TITLE			LOIS JONES vs. MARIAM HARRISON						
MO	[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]								
	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER								
DOCKET ENTRY:									
(1)	☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]								
(2)	□ Brief	Brief in support of motion due							
(3)	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due								
(4)	□ Ruling	Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
(5)	☐ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(6)	(6) Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at								
(7) Trial[set for/re-set for] on at									
(8)	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at								
(9)		☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).							
(10)	(10)								
	women.								
(11)	No notices required, a	urther detail see order	attached to the ori	ginal minute order.]	 	Document			
	No notices required, a	davised in open court.				Number			
Notices mailed by judge's staff.					number of notices	· · ·			
Notified counsel by telephone.					date docketed	1			
Docketing to mail notices.					12-1·	16			
Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate judge.				s, braitaict couRT	docketing deputy nitials				
 	Copy to Judge magist	luic juoge.		Y = 111	Annual Control	'			
16		courtroom deputy's		3:8 HM 8-100+	date mailed notice				
		initials		ne received in	mailing deputy initials				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

LOIS JONES,)			
Plaintiff,)			7 <i>DOMETED</i> OCT - 6 2004
vs.)	No:	04 C 3287	
MARIAM HARRISON,) .			
Defendant.)			

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Lois Jones entitles her most recent motion to reconsider our decision denying her petition to proceed *in forma pauperis*, her "Final Motion to Reconsider." One can only hope. The record reveals plaintiff's penchant for filing motions to reconsider and motions to vacate. This motion, plaintiff's fourth attempt to vacate our ruling, incorrectly identifies the case number as "03C7330." In fact, that is the number for another case brought by plaintiff, which is before Judge Guzman. On the same day that we denied plaintiff's last motion for reconsideration in this case, Judge Guzman also denied a motion for reconsideration filed by plaintiff in that case. In his minute order denying plaintiff's motion, Judge Guzman cautioned plaintiff that any further motions to reconsider would be summarily denied. As we have sufficiently explained the basis for our decision in our previous opinions, *see* Memorandum Opinion and Orders dated May 13, 2004, May 27, 2004, August 6, 2004, and September 16, 2004, and plaintiff again failing to state any basis for reconsideration, *see* Bordelon v. Chicago School Reform Board of Trustees, 233 F.3d 524, 529 (7th Cir. 2000), we once more deny her motion and alert her that any such future motions will be summarily denied.

14

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's motion to reconsider is denied.

JAMES B. MORAN

Senior Judge, U. S. District Court

<u>Oeb. 5</u>, 2004.